

## Online Resource 1:

### CTP characterisation factors for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O

**Article title:**

Assessment of urgent impacts of greenhouse gas emissions – the Climate Tipping Potential (CTP)

**Journal:**

The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment

**Authors:**

Susanne V. Jørgensen<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Michael Z. Hauschild<sup>1</sup> and Per H. Nielsen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical University of Denmark, Department for Management Engineering, Division for Quantitative Sustainability Assessment, Produktionstorvet building 426, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

<sup>2</sup>Novozymes A/S, Krogshøjvej 36, 2880 Bagsværd, Denmark

\*Corresponding author e-mail: [suvjo@dtu.dk](mailto:suvjo@dtu.dk)

**CTP characterisation factors for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O with emission times from 2012 to the target time, for all RCP scenarios applying an atmospheric GHG target level of 450 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>e**

The CTP characterisation factors given in Table O1-O4 are based on the equations and input values given in the main article, with an atmospheric GHG target level of 450 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>e. The characterisation factors are calculated for the four RCP scenarios made available by Meinshausen et al. (2011), based on data from: RCP3PD (van Vuuren et al. 2007), RCP4.5 (Clarke et al. 2007; Smith and Wigley 2006; Wise et al. 2009), RCP6 (Fujino et al. 2006), RCP8.5 (Riahi et al. 2007). The CTP values are given as ppt (parts per trillion) of remaining capacity, ppt<sub>rc</sub>.

**Table O1:** CTP characterisation factors using scenario RCP3PD

Year of emission	N <sub>2</sub> O [ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg N <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> ]	CH <sub>4</sub> [ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CH <sub>4</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]
2012	1.10·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.62·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.78·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2013	1.18·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.88·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.07·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2014	1.27·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.17·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.39·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2015	1.37·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.51·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.76·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2016	1.48·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.90·10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.17·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2017	1.61·10 <sup>0</sup>	4.35·10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.64·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2018	1.75·10 <sup>0</sup>	4.88·10 <sup>-1</sup>	6.17·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2019	1.92·10 <sup>0</sup>	5.49·10 <sup>-1</sup>	6.79·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2020	2.10·10 <sup>0</sup>	6.21·10 <sup>-1</sup>	7.50·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2021	2.32·10 <sup>0</sup>	7.05·10 <sup>-1</sup>	8.32·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2022	2.58·10 <sup>0</sup>	8.07·10 <sup>-1</sup>	9.30·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2023	2.88·10 <sup>0</sup>	9.30·10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.05·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2024	3.25·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.08·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.19·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2025	3.72·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.28·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.37·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2026	4.31·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.53·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.61·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2027	5.08·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.87·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.91·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2028	6.13·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.33·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.34·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2029	7.59·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.98·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.94·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2030	9.73·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.96·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.83·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2031	1.30·10 <sup>1</sup>	5.49·10 <sup>0</sup>	5.25·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2032	1.91·10 <sup>1</sup>	8.33·10 <sup>0</sup>	7.94·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2033	3.46·10 <sup>1</sup>	1.57·10 <sup>1</sup>	1.51·10 <sup>-1</sup>
2034	-	-	-

**Table O2:** CTP characterisation factors using scenario RCP4.5

Year of emission	N <sub>2</sub> O	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>
	[ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg N <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> ]	[ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CH <sub>4</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]	[ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]
2012	8.98·10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.50·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.16·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2013	9.57·10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.74·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.39·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2014	1.03·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.02·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.65·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2015	1.10·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.35·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.95·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2016	1.19·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.74·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.31·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2017	1.30·10 <sup>0</sup>	4.20·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.72·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2018	1.43·10 <sup>0</sup>	4.75·10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.22·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2019	1.58·10 <sup>0</sup>	5.42·10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.82·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2020	1.76·10 <sup>0</sup>	6.25·10 <sup>-1</sup>	6.56·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2021	1.99·10 <sup>0</sup>	7.30·10 <sup>-1</sup>	7.49·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2022	2.28·10 <sup>0</sup>	8.67·10 <sup>-1</sup>	8.71·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2023	2.68·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.05·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.04·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2024	3.24·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.32·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.28·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2025	4.10·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.73·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.65·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2026	5.58·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.44·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.32·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2027	8.72·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.95·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.80·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2028	-	-	-

**Table O3:** CTP characterisation factors using scenario RCP6

Year of emission	N <sub>2</sub> O	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>
	[ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg N <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> ]	[ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CH <sub>4</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]	[ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]
2012	8.52·10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.12·10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.94·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2013	8.99·10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.30·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.12·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2014	9.51·10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.50·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.31·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2015	1.01·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.73·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.53·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2016	1.07·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.99·10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.78·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2017	1.15·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.28·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.06·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2018	1.23·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.63·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.38·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2019	1.33·10 <sup>0</sup>	4.03·10 <sup>-1</sup>	4.75·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2020	1.44·10 <sup>0</sup>	4.51·10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.19·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2021	1.57·10 <sup>0</sup>	5.08·10 <sup>-1</sup>	5.72·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2022	1.73·10 <sup>0</sup>	5.78·10 <sup>-1</sup>	6.35·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2023	1.93·10 <sup>0</sup>	6.65·10 <sup>-1</sup>	7.14·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2024	2.18·10 <sup>0</sup>	7.75·10 <sup>-1</sup>	8.13·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2025	2.50·10 <sup>0</sup>	9.19·10 <sup>-1</sup>	9.43·10 <sup>-3</sup>
2026	2.93·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.11·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.12·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2027	3.54·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.39·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.37·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2028	4.44·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.81·10 <sup>0</sup>	1.75·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2029	5.89·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.48·10 <sup>0</sup>	2.38·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2030	8.47·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.70·10 <sup>0</sup>	3.53·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2031	1.38·10 <sup>1</sup>	6.25·10 <sup>0</sup>	6.01·10 <sup>-2</sup>
2032	-	-	-

**Table O4:** CTP characterisation factors using scenario RCP8.5

Year of emission	N <sub>2</sub> O [ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg N <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> ]	CH <sub>4</sub> [ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CH <sub>4</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]	CO <sub>2</sub> [ppt <sub>rc</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-1</sup> ]
2012	$9.13 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$2.77 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.27 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2013	$9.84 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.08 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.55 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2014	$1.07 \cdot 10^0$	$3.45 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.88 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2015	$1.17 \cdot 10^0$	$3.89 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.28 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2016	$1.29 \cdot 10^0$	$4.45 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$4.78 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2017	$1.45 \cdot 10^0$	$5.15 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$5.41 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2018	$1.65 \cdot 10^0$	$6.07 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$6.23 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2019	$1.93 \cdot 10^0$	$7.32 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$7.35 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2020	$2.32 \cdot 10^0$	$9.10 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$8.96 \cdot 10^{-3}$
2021	$2.91 \cdot 10^0$	$1.18 \cdot 10^0$	$1.15 \cdot 10^{-2}$
2022	$3.93 \cdot 10^0$	$1.65 \cdot 10^0$	$1.58 \cdot 10^{-2}$
2023	$6.02 \cdot 10^0$	$2.63 \cdot 10^0$	$2.50 \cdot 10^{-2}$
2024	$1.29 \cdot 10^1$	$5.87 \cdot 10^0$	$5.64 \cdot 10^{-2}$
2025	-	-	-

The CTP characterisation factors given here have been developed to be readily applicable in LCA, as supplement to the long-term global warming impacts expressed through the GWP.

## References

Clarke L, Edmonds J, Jacoby H, Pitcher H, Reilly J, Richels R (2007) Scenarios of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Atmospheric Concentrations. Sub-report 2.1A of Synthesis and Assessment Product 2.1 by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research. Department of Energy, Office of Biological & Environmental Research, Washington DC, USA

Fujino J, Nair R, Kainuma M, Masui T, Matsuoka Y (2006) Multi-gas mitigation analysis on stabilization scenarios using AIM global model. *Multigas Mitigation and Climate Policy. The Energy Journal Special Issue*

Meinshausen M, Smith SJ, Calvin K, Daniel JS, Kainuma MLT, Lamarque J-F, Matsumoto K, Montzka SA, Raper SCB, Riahi K, Thomson A, Velders GJM, van Vuuren DPP (2011) The RCP Greenhouse Gas Concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2500. *Climatic Change* 109:213-241

Riahi K, Gruebler A, Nakicenovic N (2007) Scenarios of long-term socio-economic and environmental development under climate stabilization. *Technol Forecast Soc Chang* 74: 887-935

Smith SJ, Wigley TML (2006) Multi-Gas Forcing Stabilization with the MiniCAM. *Energy Journal* 27: 373-391

van Vuuren, D, den Elzen M, Lucas P, Eickhout B, Strengers B, van Ruijven B, Wonink S, van Houdt R (2007) Stabilizing greenhouse gas concentrations at low levels: an assessment of reduction strategies and costs. *Climatic Change* 81: 119-159

Wise MA, Calvin KV, Thomson AM, Clarke LE, Bond-Lamberty B, Sands RD, Smith SJ, Janetos AC, Edmonds JA (2009) Implications of Limiting CO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations for Land Use and Energy. *Science* 324:1183-1186