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The survey questions

1. How frequently do you participate in the Latin American Tumor Board weekly teleconference? (Frequency scale question)

2. What are the barriers that limit your ability to attend the teleconference? (multiple answers were allowed including subspecialist's respective workload, timing of the teleconference, day of the week, Cure4Kids access and Internet connectivity problems, lack of interest, the recommendations don’t fit the local conditions, no limitations and providing “other barriers” was permitted)

3. The frequency of the teleconference (weekly) is sufficient to cover the cases that need to be reviewed. (Agreement scale question)

4. The duration of the teleconference (one hour) is sufficient to cover the discussion of all listed cases. (Agreement scale question)

5. There is enough time to prepare the cases before submitting them to be reviewed the panel of experts. (Frequency scale question)

6. The use of Spanish as the primary language of the teleconference makes the discussion more fluid, easier to understand, easier to follow and helps to provide clear recommendations. (Agreement scale question)

7. The recommendations provided by the panel of experts are clear and easy to understand. (Frequency scale question)

8. The recommendations provided by the panel of experts fit the local conditions and circumstances of your institute and country. (Frequency scale question)

9. The cases presented and reviewed in the teleconference provide a continuing medical education opportunity and helps to expand the participant knowledge in the field of pediatric neuro-oncology. (Agreement scale question)

10. The management recommendations provided by the global neuro-oncologists helped improving the discussed patients' outcomes and/or their quality of life. (Frequency scale question)

11. The post-teleconference summary reports are clear and easy to understand. (Agreement scale question)

12. The post-teleconference summary reports are sent within an appropriate time. (Agreement scale question)

13. Even if the participant does not attend the teleconference as he likes, he still checks the post-teleconference summary reports to stay updated. (Frequency scale question)

14. The teleconference needs to include more subspecialties in the panel of the experts. (Agreement scale question)

15. What are the subspecialties you want to add to the panel of experts in the teleconference? (multiple answers were allowed and providing “other barriers” was permitted)

16. Sending the patients pathology slides to be reviewed by experts in one of the partnering institutes is easy. (Agreement scale question)

17. If the participant sent pathology slides to be reviewed in one of the partnering institutes, the participant reviews the results with his team and the pathologists in his institute. (Frequency scale questions)